



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/363,456	07/29/1999	WILLIAM J. BENMAN	VIRTUAL-2	8150

7590 12/15/2004
WILLIAM J BENMAN
INTEGRATED VIRTUAL NETWORKS
2049 CENTURY PARK EAST
SUITE 2740
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

EXAMINER

CAO, HUEDUNG X

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2821

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Paper No. 16

Application Number: 09/363,456
Filing Date: July 29, 1999
Appellant(s): BENMAN

William J. Benman
For Appellant

Supplemental
EXAMINER'S ANSWER

MAILED

DEC 15 2004

GROUP 2800

This action supplements the Examiner's Answer of January 21, 2004 and is in response to the Remand of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences mailed July 19, 2004. The Remand stated that a discrepancy existed between the Claim 7 as presented in Appellant's Appendix to their Brief of April 21, 2003 and the Claim 7 as presented in the after-final amendment filed with the Brief. Moreover, the Remand stated that the April

Art Unit: 2821

21 amendment had not been entered even though the Advisory Action of January 15, 2004 indicated that the amendment would be entered for purposes of appeal.


In accordance with the Remand, the April 21 amendment has now been entered into the application. Moreover, on page 2 of the Examiner's Answer, section 8 is hereby corrected as follows:

(8) Claims Appealed

A substantially correct copy of the appealed claims is contained in the Appendix to the brief. However, claim 7, line 11, "said filtered image" should read as --said difference frame--.

Respectfully submitted,

Huedung X. Cao
Patent Examiner


Don Wong
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2899